## Nonfinal information focus in Serbian – some experimental data

Using as a starting point the hypothesis that there might exist a subtype of information focus, termed *nonfinal information focus* (Halupka-Rešetar 2009), which is not realized in sentence final position, the presentation challenges the standard minimalist claim that PF has to pronounce the highest member of a nontrivial chain. The analysis put forward in Halupka-Rešetar 2009 rests, on the one hand, on viewing [+f] as a lexical feature, and on the other hand, on a version of Bošković's (2008) PLC, which allows the pronunciation of a lower copy if doing so does not violate any phonological constraints (e.g. the obligatory second position of clitics in Serbian). This analysis was put to a test by examining the prosodic properties of senteces uttered by native speakers of Serbian, with the goal of corroborating the assumption that non-final information focus needs to be prosodically marked. The analysis based on the results obtained in the experiment predicts that this type of information focus can occur crosslinguistically in languages in which word order is relatively free (e.g. Serbian), but not in languages in which word order is governed by rules of grammar (e.g. English)

Key words: syntax, phonology, information focus, word order, Serbian.

## References:

- Boeckx, C., Stjepanović, S., 2001. Head-ing toward PF. Linguistic Inquiry 32/2, 345-355.
- Bošković, Ž., 2001. On the Nature of the Syntax-Phonology Interface: Cliticization and Related Phenomena. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Bošković, Ž., 2002. On certain differences between Bulgarian and Serbo-Croatian C(P). Balkanistica 15, 35-48.
- Bošković, Ž., 2004. Topicalization, focalization, lexical insertion, and scrambling. Linguistic Inquiry 35/4, 613-638.
- Bošković, Ž., 2008. On the Clausal and NP-structure of Serbo-Croatian. In: Compton, R. et al. (Eds.), Formal Approaches to Slavic Linguistics: The Toronto Meeting, 2006. Michigan Slavic Publications, Ann Arbor, Mich., pp. 42-75.
- Bošković, Ž., to appear. Scrambling. In: Berger, T. et al. (Eds.), The Slavic Languages. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin.
- Bošković, Ž., Nunes, J., 2007. The Copy Theory of Movement: A view from PF. In: Corver, N., Nunes, J. (Eds.), The copy theory of movement on the PF side. John Benjamins, Amsterdam / Philadelphia, pp. 13-74.
- Chomsky, N., 1995. The Minimalist Program. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.

- Chomsky, N., 2000. Minimalist Inquiries: The Framework. In: Michaels, D., Uriagereka, J. (Eds.), Step by Step: Essays on Minimalist Syntax in Honor of Howard Lasnik. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., pp. 89-155.
- Chomsky, N., 2001. Derivation by Phase. In: Kenstowicz, M. (Ed.), Ken Hale: A Life in Language. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., pp. 1-52.
- Epstein, S. et al. (Eds.), 1998. A Derivational Approach to Syntactic Relations. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Franks, S., 1998. Clitics in Slavic. Position paper presented at the Comparative Slavic Morphosyntax Workshop, June 5-7, Bloomington. Available at http://www.indiana.edu/~slavconf/linguistics/frnks.pdf (28/4/2010)
- Halupka-Rešetar, S., 2009. The structural articulation of focus in English and Serbian: a comparative analysis of the structural and the generative approach. Doctoral dissertation. Faculty of Philosophy, University of Novi Sad.
- Stjepanović, S., 1999. What do Second Position Cliticization, Scrambling, and Multiple Wh-fronting Have in Common. Doctoral dissertation, University of Connecticut, Storrs.
- Stjepanović, S., 2004. A word order paradox resolved by copy deletion at PF. In: Pica, P. (Ed..), Linguistic Variation Yearbook 2003. John Benjamins, Amsterdam / Philadelphia, pp. 139-177.
- Stjepanović, S., 2007. Free word order and copy theory of movement. In: Corver, N., Nunes, J. (Eds.), The Copy Theory of Movement. John Benjamins, Amsterdam / Philadelphia, pp. 219-248.